IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CR. REV. No.690 of 2008
JITENDRA CHAUDHARY @ JITENDRA KUMAR CHAUDHARY…..Petitioner
Versus

1. STATE OF BIHAR
2. INDU DEVI

….Opposite party

---

2. 15.12.2008. This revision application has been filed against the order dated 12.1.2007 passed by Principal Judge, Family Court, Saharsa in Misc. Case No. 36 of 2006 thereby the petitioner has been directed to pay maintenance to opposite party no. 2 and her two minor children under Section 125 Cr.P.C. at the rate of Rs.750/- per month.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and perused the impugned order.

On perusal of the impugned order, it appears that in course of enquiry this petitioner has not cross-examined any witness examined on behalf of opposite party no. 2. The court also took efforts for reconciliation but due to absence of the petitioner the matter was not settled. Under the facts and circumstances, I find no merit in this application.

WEB COPY NOT OFFICIAL

So far the quantum of maintenance is concerned, it is only Rs.750/- per month for opposite party no. 2 and her two minor children. The quantum of maintenance does not require any interference by this Court. Accordingly, this application stands dismissed at the admission stage itself.

kanchan **(Ghanshyam Prasad, J.)**